App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1929-30 (C.B.)

File No. 34/9

Serial Nos. 154.

Later References

Reserve

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 154

Part B for Nov. 1929

SUBJECT

Proposal of the Superintendent, Upper Revenue Survey & Settlement in regard to interchange of Officers between the Revenue and Survey Departments

To The Superintendent, Upper Revenue Survey & Settlement

No. 2079/CB dt 16/11/29

States that Govt are not in favour of the proposal.

General and Revenue Secretariat | CB File No. 34 of 1929

Serial No. 1

From the Survey Supdt
No. 42 d. 12th August 1929
Urges the need for providing facilities for giving experience in Revenue work to officers of the Survey Dept by free interchange of officers in between the two Depts.

All the officers ~~a~~ in the Revenue Department are now Assistant Commissioners, who are expected to ~~be~~ possess general qualifications so that they ~~can~~ can be posted to any of the Depts. (Police, Magisterial, Accounts, Excise etc) for which Civil Service officers are intended. (There is no non-Civil Service cadre in the Revenue Dept. now).

Vide G.O. d. 13.12.27 amalgamating the non-Civil Service Revenue cadre with the Civil Service. H. L.

---

2 — The qualifications for a Survey non-Civil Service officer ~~are~~ is only Revenue and Accounts tests, while ~~for~~ Asst. Comrs have in addition to pass Criminal and Civil exams. Unless all the officers of the Survey Dept are made Asst. Comrs and qualifications prescribed accordingly, it may not be easy to provide for a free interchange as suggested

Vide para 23 of the C.S. Reorgn order of 1924 Y

Submd for order

CHIEF SECRETARY'S 17 AUG 1929

Note—Contn. Sheet

CJ 17/8/29

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | CP File No. 34 720 | of 1929

Serial No.

3 Magn Sec'd to the Govt. Secys in the first instance for Follow up Remarks

RG 26/8/29

Govt Secy. 4) Forwarded with compts to the Rev. Comr. for favour of an expression of his opinion on the proposal

Bhandarkar 26.8.29

U.O.No.10 dt 26/8/29

5) So long as the distinction between the civil service officers & non civil officers exists in the survey dept the interchange is not feasible.

Asst Secy Govt Rev. Dept 27/8

6) But as a certain amount of training in the Revenue Dept of Survey officers would appear to be desirable as it will equip them better for the work of their own Survey Dept. This portion of the S.S.'s suggestion may perhaps be approved.

Chief Sec. with compts.

RG 30/8

RG 1/9/29

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 SEP. 1929 No. 2457

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

7) I don't think there should be any difficulty in giving these few officers of the Survey Dept- a training in Rev work even if they are non civil service men. They may retain their personal status (for pay promotion etc) & may be kept in the Rev Dept for about 2 or 3 years their place in the Survey Dept being taken by an officer of the Rev Dept -

CSB
2/9

Gen. Legislative Compts. RB 3/9/29.

Dewan. Bn 3/9

JM 4-9-29

8) Gen. Legislative Compts 9) draft. Bn 5/9 Chf

DEWAN'S OFFICE 3 SEP. 1929 No. 1964

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 2 SEP. 1929 No. 2977

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

10) Favour of instructions solicited on the following points :—

(i) Are the officers posted from Survey to the Revenue Dept. and vice versa, for training, to be posted direct to regular charges as S.D.O.s, Treasury officers or Circle officers (Survey) ? If for any short period they are to be kept outside the regular cadre as extra officers attached to ~~any~~ any office, provision will have to be made for meeting the cost.

(ii) the number of officers who may at any one time ~~be~~ remain on this exchange basis. Only one officer at a time (Vide Survey Supdt's letter under disposal)

OHIE. 9 SEP. 1929

Submd.
CJSR
5/9/29

Submd to General Secy
CJSR
19/9/29

11) The period of training of these Survey officers may be fixed at 3 years. They may be proposed by the Rev. Com. for ~~Sub~~ Divn. ~~Asst~~ or Treasury charges or as personal Assistants to Dep Comrs according to needs from time to time during the period. ~~and~~ Survey office

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 SEP. 1929
No. 2876

at a time may be so posted for training. During his period of training his place in the Survey Dept will be taken by an Asst. Com. of the Rev Dept. The officers may be continued to be shown against their own cadre. No question of extra cost will arise.

Second Member. 19/9.

Thro' Chief Sec.

RG 28/9/29

12) I agree. They may be given work as S.D.O.

CSB 4/10

13) Draft G.O. submd.

Govt Secy) Chief Secy) CPB 15/10/29

BN 16/10.

RG (17/10/29

14) Spoke to R.C. Chesley promises to send draft. (Mem. sent for his info RG 18/10/29

15) A note received from Mr. D'Souza to post up. He is not in favour of the proposal of the Survey Supdt. as he thinks

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192 | 1281/28

Serial No.

that an officer who has been entirely in the Survey Dept. cannot efficiently manage a Revenue charge. ~~and a fresh hand~~

(b) He also suggests that in future direct recruitment to the Survey Dept. may be given up, and only Revenue officers posted to Survey Dept. for 5 years at a time

Submd. for order

CPA 8/11/29

(Gen Secy)
(Chief Secy)

17) Three officers of the Rev Dept viz., Pennaiyan + 3 others are under training in the Survey Dept. Their disposal after the period of training is being considered in another file. S.S. wants to make them Asst. Supts while the Rev Com merely proposes an allowance to them so long as they do field work in the Survey Dept. and continue remain in the Rev. cadre on the ground that they

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 192

Serial No. 4

To
The Superintendent Revenue Survey
2079 / CB. 24-29-4. Dt 16-11-29
States that Govt are not in favour of the proposal.

B.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

D.O. 42.

Confidential.

Bangalore,

12th. August 1929.

Urgent Reg 15/8/29.

CB720
CB.34-29-1

Dear Mr. Ranga Rau ,

There is one matter of some importance which does not seem to have been prominent-ly put before Government in the past, v.i.z., the training of our Survey Officers. While we recognise t the importance and the necessity of training our Revenue Officers in Survey work, the need for giving some training in Revenue work to Survey Officers has been rather overlooked. The result of this want of training in the general work of the Revenue Department has, I have often noticed, tended to narrow and circumscribe the outlook of Survey Officers. The work of the two Departments is intimately inter-related and I think that it is time we made a beginning in the direction of setting right this defect. When I was in Bombay in 1922, I noticed that there was a fairly free interchange of Officers between the Revenue and Land Records Departments.

There are now four Officers in this Department, all young and active and quite satisfactory in their work, whose efficiency will be considerably improved, if they could come back to me af-

after a couple of years or so of service in the Revenue Dpartment. They are Messrs. Krisnasami, Venkatasamia, Rajagopal and Srinivasan.

I suggest, then, that from next year each of the these officers may be transferred to the general line for 2 or 3 years, their places being of course taken similarly from officers in the general line who should get a good grounding in Survey work. Their simultaneous transfer would seriously embarrass me but there is no difficulty in taking them one by one in successive years. The process can in fact begin from the next Field Season itself (beginning next November) if the services of Mr.R.A.Narasimha Iyengar, who has had good experience of both Revenue and Survey work, can be spared for this Department. But I do not know how far the Government or the Revenue Commissioner are prepared to lend him to this Department. The general policy is one for the serious consideration of Government. Hence I am making this reference.

Yours Sincerely,

D. Srinivasa Iyengar.

ISSUED

1764/C. B&R 5/11/14

Dear Mr. D'Souza,

May I remind you of our talk at Mysore about the proposal of the Survey Superintendent ~~for providing~~ in regard to ~~for an~~ interchange of officers between the Revenue and Survey Depts. so as to give some training in Revenue work to the non-civil Service officers of the Survey Dept. and widen their outlook?

I shall be obliged if you will kindly send me early the note which you promised, ~~at an early date~~ on this connection.

Yours sincerely

5/11/14.

P. G. D'Souza Esq, B.A, B.L
Revenue Comm^r. in Mysore

Confidential.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

No. C.C.(A).27/29-30.
Encl: 1

Dated, Bangalore, 6th November *192* 9.

7/11
CB.324-29-3
C.B. 1795

My dear Ranga Rao,

With reference to your D.O. No.1748/ C.B. dated 5th November 1929, in regard to interchange of officers between the Revenue and Survey Departments, I enclose herein a Note on the above subject.

Yours sincerely,

N o t e.

The Superintendent of Survey and Settlements suggests an interchange of officers between the Survey and Revenue Departments with a view to widen the outlook of the Non-Civil Service Officers of the Survey Department. This necessarily implies the posting of such Survey Officers to places held by Revenue Officers of the corresponding grades. There are at present 10 officers in that department of whom two are officers belonging to the Civil Service working in that Department, four officers of the Non-Civil Service cadre who have been in the Department ever since their entertainment over 12 years ago, and four other officers who have been recently deputed to the Department, 3 from among Amildars and one Upper subordinate of the Engineering Department for training in Survey work and absorption in the Department. No question arises as regards the training in the Revenue Department of either officers belonging to the Civil Service or Amildars transferred temporarily to the Survey Department as there are ample facilities otherwise.

2. Apparently, the Survey Superintendent has the four officers of the Non-Civil Service cadre in view in making the present suggestion. These four are all in the Assistant Commissioner's grade and they should in the event of their being transferred to the Revenue Department, be put in charge of Treasuries or Sub Divisions. It is well recognised that officers should possess considerable experience in revenue and magisterial work coupled with training, to do justice to these posts. Of late, the work of these offices has become very complex on account of increased activities in all the branches of administration, which have added to the duties of the Revenue Officer; and it is difficult for even experienced Revenue officers to cope with the work without giving room for complaint. As such, officers who have all along been confined to the Survey Department can hardly be expected to be equal to the task. The nature of the work in the Revenue Department differs materially from that in the Survey Department. As observed by the Survey Superintendent himself, it is wider in scope. Previous training is therefore absolutely

necessary if they should be entrusted with Revenue work.

I am therefore strongly opposed to a proposal which will greatly affect the work of the Revenue Department while it will be of no advantage to the Survey Department as the experience so gained will be of little use in the work of the Survey Department. The very fact that the Survey Superintendent has selected an officer of the Engineering Department for work in this Department shows that intensive Revenue experience is not necessary for work in the Survey Department which is more of a technical nature. Even when the Revenue Settlement operations were in full swing these officers were found quite equal to their work in the Survey Department and it was not considered necessary to give them the training contemplated by the present proposals, and now when the Settlement operations have all been completed and the work of the Department is purely confined to the maintenance of land records and to the measurement of Hissas, much less is there need for this training. I am therefore not in favour of officers recruited in the Survey Department and transferred to it from other Departments than the Revenue, being transferred to places in the Revenue Department, held by corresponding officers in that Department. So far as officers belonging to the Revenue Department under deputation to the Survey Department are concerned, this question does not arise at all. At any time, these officers may be interchanged between the two Departments.

(1)

In future, I think, it is not necessary that there should be separate recruitment at all for the Survey Department. All the officers required may be deputed from the Revenue Department for a period of say, five years, and thereafter those officers may be retransferred to the Revenue Department, other officers taking their places. In this way, the object aimed at by the Survey Superintendent may be attained. In recent years, we have selected a number of young officers with good intellectual equipment as Revenue Probationers and if they are posted to the Survey Department after a few years' service

(2)

in the Revenue Department and given the necessary survey training they are sure to fulfil the requirements of the Survey Superintendent, as contemplated in his proposals. It is not therefore necessary to have a rule meant to benefit a few officers and to improve their prospects at the sacrifice of efficiency.

Revenue Commissioner.

ISSUED.

2079/C.S 34-29-4

D 16/11/29

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No 42. D, the 12th August 1929 in regard to ~~the~~ interchange of of Officers between the Revenue and Survey Depts, I am desired to State that Govt are not in favour of ~~giving effect to your~~ the proposal.

Yours Sincerely

16/11/29.

D. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq B.A.
Supdt Of Rev. Survey
Bangalore

Copy to Rev com'r with ref^ce to his D.O. dt. 2.11.29.

2080/CB
D/16/11/29

15/11/29